



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/026,790 02/20/98 DUPENLOUP

G 30454-122 (P-

EXAMINER

LM02/0623

MITCHELL SILBERBERG & KNUFF
11377 WEST OLYMPIC BOULEVARD
LOS ANGELES CA 90064-1683

THOMPSON, A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2768

DATE MAILED:

06/23/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/026,790

Applicant(s)

Guy DUPENLOUP

Examiner
A.M. Thompson

Group Art Unit
2768



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Examiner A.M. Thompson

(3) _____

(2) Joseph G. Swan, Reg. No. 41,338

(4) _____

Date of Interview Jun 21, 2000

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 1

Identification of prior art discussed:

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussion of Claim 1's limitations: "identifying hardware elements in a generic netlist."; "generating script to cause a logic synthesis tool to apply top-down and bottom up characterization." Comments and arguments raised were already of record.


No additional amendments were proposed. No agreement was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.


Paul R. Lintz
Primary Examiner

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.